

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/651,499	08/29/2003		Joseph D. Ng	038470/255206	7759	
826	7590	12/07/2005		EXAMINER		
ALSTON & BANK OF A		<del></del>	KUNEMUND, ROBERT M			
		STREET, SUITE 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHARLOTT	E, NC 2	8280-4000	1722			

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
Office Action Summary		10/651,499	NG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert M. Kunemund	1722			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	he correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANI	From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	<u>eptember 2005</u> .				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
·	Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• •			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Attachmen	rt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 to 4, 8, 12,18 to 23, 28, 34 to 36, 39 to 41 and 50 to 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Ng et al (J. of Structural Biology art.).

The Ng et al reference teaches a process and an apparatus for crystallization of proteins. The apparatus consists of capillary tubes in support members and a housing member. There is a means to stabilize the tubes. There is a lower precipating reservoir where the capillary tubes contact. The crystallization takes place in the tubes by contacting the tubes with the lower reservoir. The tubes are made so that x-rays can be taken to look at the crystals, note pages 227, 228 and figure 9.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,499 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5 to 7, 9 to 11, 13 to 17, 24 to 27, 29 to 33, 37, 38, 42 to 49 and 56 to 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ng et al.

The Ng et al reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the specific materials and sizes of the apparatus. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable sizes and materials in the Ng et al apparatus in order to produce the correct size crystals and use materials, which do not pollute the crystals.

According to the Science Direct website, the Ng et al reference was published in April 2003.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The declaration filed on September 28, 2005 by Dr. Ng has been fully considered and not deemed persuasive. The declaration states that Dr. Ng, Gavira-Gallardo and Garcia-Ruiz are coauthors and co inventors of the claimed invention. This creates a question as to the inventorship of the application. It is unclear from the declaration as to if the other two inventors worked on any claimed material. Clarification is required and can include change of inventorship or a list of claims and who add to that claim.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,499 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**RMK** 

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER